High

18

KCR 0016 Capital Programme

Corporate Lead Bill Woolley & Pete Dwyer

The Capital Programme delivers a number of capital schemes that directly contribute to the achievement of the Corporate Strategy. All capital schemes are included into the Capital Programme via the annual capital budget process which allocates resources to the projects that facilitate with service delivery and contribute toward the Corporate Strategy. Currently the Capital Programme contains 85 projects over a 5 year period with a budget of over £206m.

City Strategy

Community Stadium

project.

Costs of running project cannot be sustained.

Cause Insufficient funds to effectively resource

Risk Owner: Tim Atkins Risk Ref:

<u>Consequence</u> Key risks are not effectively managed.

Quality of feasibility and development work

insufficient.

1840

Controls Owner

Resource plan with commitment of necessary funds.

Tim Atkins
Review and management of resource plan on rolling basis.

Tim Atkins

Commercial Development does not progress

Risk Owner: Tim Atkins Risk Ref: 1844 High 18

<u>Cause</u> The developer has problems raising funds. <u>Consequence</u> No enabling funds available resulting in a

shortfall of capital. Scheme delayed and /

or alternative developer required.

Controls

Financial protocols Tim Atkins

Actions Target Date Revised Date

Due diligence 28/02/2011
Soft market test another developer-partner 30/04/2011

Making the planning policy case for the major development.

Risk Owner: Tim Atkins Risk Ref: 1849

High

18

Cause Retail and / or transport impact

assessments do not support the case for development. The community benefits of the overall proposal do not outweigh the harm of the enabling development.

<u>Consequence</u> This could result in the stadium project not

going forward or cause a delay while an alternative enabling proposal is worked up.

Controls

Planning Strategy. Tim Atkins
Specialist Planning Advice. Tim Atkins
Benefits of Stadium Development identified. Tim Atkins

Actions Target Date Revised Date

Schedule of pre-application meetings between developer and LPA. 30/12/2010

Alternative options for site development. 28/02/2011

Call-in / legal challenge results in refusal of planning permission.

Risk Owner: Glen McClusker Risk Ref: 1851

High

18

<u>Cause</u> A third party may wish to challenge the

decision made and / or the SOS may call-in the application and decision making power from the LPA. <u>Consequence</u> Planning permission maybe refused or

legal challenge may be successful.

Controls

Statutory advice on agreements.

Open book appraisal.

Retail Impact Assessment.

Glen McClusker

Glen McClusker

Transport Impact Assessment.

Glen McClusker

Glen McClusker

Glen McClusker

Glen McClusker

Specialist legal advice.

Glen McClusker

Actions Target Date Revised Date

Reduce specification options. 28/02/2011

Contingency: Alternative Development Plan. 28/02/2011

Highways Agency objection.

Risk Owner: Richard Bogg Risk Ref: 1855

High

18

<u>Cause</u> HA consider impact on the Hopgrove

roundabout and ring road to be significant.

<u>Consequence</u> HA could make direction for refusal.

Controls

Transport Impact Assessment.

Richard Bogg
Mitigation options as part of S106.

Richard Bogg

Actions Target Date Revised Date

Develop options for mitigation in line with views of HA and outcome 31/01/2011

of HIA.

Initiate discussions with HA. 31/03/2011

Option to challenge HA decision. 30/04/2011

Capital Funding

Risk Owner: Tim Atkins Medium Risk Ref: 1759

Consequence Fail to meet vision for community benefit. Cause Insufficient funds to effectively fund capital

for project.

Controls Owner Planning Strategy Tim Atkins CYC capital programme Tim Atkins Other external funding sources Tim Atkins

Actions **Target Date Revised Date**

31/01/2011 Undertake S106 discussions to assess available capital-finalise

development appraisals.

28/02/2011 Assess alternative commercial components. 28/02/2011 Develop prioritised specification for cost - quality reduction.

Council's ability to continue to provide funding for project

Risk Owner: Tim Atkins Medium 14 Risk Ref: 1843

Cause Financial pressure on council or change in

policy.

<u>Consequence</u> The project does not progress or the number of community benefits and

specification / quality of the stadium is reduced. This will affect its commercial

viability.

Controls Owner Other identified funding streams Tim Atkins Consider reduced specification scheme Tim Atkins

Revised Date Actions Target Date

28/02/2011 Develop fall-back option and investigate alternative funding

streams

31/03/2011 To ensure achieve best value through S106, design and operating

structures

30/06/2011 Ensure on-going communication with funding bodies and

stakeholders

Risk Owner: Tim Atkins

Commercial funds not sufficient to meet CYC vision.

1845

CYC cannot support the scheme as it fails <u>Cause</u> Developer cannot offer sufficient enabling Consequence to deliver community stadium vision.

Risk Ref:

funds through S106 agreement.

Controls Owner Planning Strategy Tim Atkins **Development Appraisal process** Tim Atkins

Revised Date Target Date Actions

28/02/2011 Reduced specification options Contingency: identification of alternative schemes 28/02/2011

Medium

14

14

Scope for potential community and commercial uses within the stadium in relation to the title.

Risk Owner: Philip Callow Risk Ref: 1846 Medium

14

Cause Limitations of the restricted covenant.

Consequence

This will have a knock-on impact to the

commercial viability of the development or may result in the scheme not progressing.

Controls Owner

Master Planning Philip Callow Planning Strategy Philip Callow

Revised Date Actions **Target Date**

31/01/2011 Establish validity of the covenant 31/01/2011 Establish options for removal of the covenant 31/01/2011 Understand potential of case laws to override covenant 28/02/2011 Potential to alter the development of the scheme 28/02/2011 Determine validity of transfer document

Time delay / cost of Call-In or legal challenge.

Risk Owner: Tim Atkins Risk Ref: 1850 Medium 14

Cause A third party may wish to challenge the

decision made and / or the SOS may call-in the application and decision making power

from the LPA.

The planning decision is called-in or Consequence

subject to judicial review which could cause a time delay (6-12 mths in each case), increased costs and could impact York City Football Clubs position on FSIF

loan.

Controls Owner

Project Plan. Tim Atkins Resource Plan. Tim Atkins Planning Strategy. Tim Atkins

Target Date Revised Date Actions

28/02/2011 Assess potential costs. 31/03/2011 Develop contingencies in project plan.

Planning submission is delayed.

Risk Owner: Tim Atkins 1852 Risk Ref:

Medium

14

<u>Cause</u> Project slippage or deferral or delay of the

planning decision.

Consequence

Scheme is delayed and critical path is pushed back. Potential to threaten other funding streams and partner confidence.

Tim Atkins

Controls Owner Planning strategy. Tim Atkins Resource and procurement strategies. Tim Atkins Communications strategy. Tim Atkins Review of project timetable and communication - discussions with Tim Atkins developer and LPA. Review of resource and procurement plan and strategy. Tim Atkins

Update meetings with partners, stakeholders and other funding

bodies.

Potential procurement routes and associated timescales.

Risk Owner: Tim Atkins Risk Ref: 1760 Medium 13

Cause The procurement route chosen can have an

effect on the timescales / costs of the

project.

<u>Consequence</u> Increased costs, impact on delivery and

reputation.

ControlsOwnerExploration of potential procurement frameworks and associatedZara Carter

timescales.

Actions Target Date Revised Date

Review of available frameworks-contracts. 28/02/2011

Group decision regarding which procurement route to take. 30/04/2011

Stadium Operational Revenue Funding

Risk Owner: Tim Atkins Risk Ref: 1763 Medium 13

<u>Cause</u> Stadium development is not commercially <u>Consequence</u> Could result in future CYC revenue

sustainable. pressure.

Controls

Owner
Inclusion of sufficient commercial activity to ensure positive revenue

Tim Atkins

Inclusion of sufficient commercial activity to ensure positive revenue streams.

Actions Target Date Revised Date

Development and ongoing management of robust business model. 28/02/2011

Call-in / legal challenge results in refusal of planning permission.

Risk Owner: Tim Atkins Risk Ref: 1764 Medium 13

<u>Cause</u> A third party may wish to challenge the <u>Consequence</u> Planning permission maybe refused or decision made and / or the SOS may call-in legal challenge may be successful.

the application and decision making power

from the LPA.

Controls

Retail Impact Assessment.

Tim Atkins

Transport Impact Assessment.

Advice from Independent specialists.

Tim Atkins

Tim Atkins

Actions Target Date Revised Date

Contingency: Alternative Development Plan. 28/02/2011

Ability to meet FSIF's grant funding requirements

Risk Owner: Tim Atkins Risk Ref: 1842 Medium

13

Cause FSIF 'call-in' loan or timescales exceed Consequence Capital available for project reduces by

£2M.

loan agreement.

Controls Owner Procurement strategy Zara Carter

Funding model Tim Atkins Communications with FSIF Tim Atkins Tim Atkins

Regular review of project timetable and communication - discussions

with FSIF

Actions Target Date **Revised Date**

28/02/2011 Consider options for reduced specification 31/03/2011 Alternative funding options

Athletics facility cannot be built at University.

Risk Owner: Charlie Croft Medium 13 Risk Ref: 1847

Cause Unable to reach terms with University Consequence Replacement athletics facility cannot be

regarding new shared provision. provided. Planning case for stadium redevelopment may be harder to make.

Controls Owner Discussions with the University. Charlie Croft University have outline planning permission for an athletics track. Charlie Croft

Target Date Revised Date Actions

28/02/2011 Contingency: Develop alternative options for other sites. 28/02/2011 Sign Heads of Terms with University.

Financial impact of breaking the Nuffield lease.

Risk Owner: Philip Callow Medium 13 Risk Ref: 1848

Impact on the stadium development Cause Planning permission not achieved prioir to <u>Consequence</u>

budget baecause of potential lease expiring. compensation.

Controls Owner

Planning Strategy. Philip Callow

Revised Date Actions **Target Date**

28/02/2011 Explore other legal channels. 31/05/2011 Dialogue with Nuffield.

30/11/2011 31/07/2011 Secure Planning Permission

Impact on Scheduled Ancient Monument.

Risk Owner: John Oxley Risk Ref: 1853 Medium

13

Cause Scheme backs on to Scheduled Ancient

Monument.

English Hertiage may object to scheme or Consequence

requirements may increase complexity.

Controls Owner

Planning Strategy. Tim Atkins Discussions with English Heritage. John Oxley

Target Date Revised Date Actions

31/01/2011 Environmental Impact Study. 30/04/2011 Community Heritage Proposals.

Challenge to the selected final bidder/procurement process.

Risk Owner: Zara Carter Risk Ref: 1856 Medium

13

Cause Unsuccessful bidders may challenge the

chosen bidder decision.

Consequence A court can stop proceedings, time

impacts to project, might have to start process again, chosen bidder may want

damages.

Controls Owner

Tender- Contract documentation with legal review and support Zara Carter

throughout the process.

Audit trail. Zara Carter Procurement lawyers. Zara Carter

Revised Date Target Date Actions

31/01/2011 Ensure legal services are involved as early as possible.

Insufficient bidders.

Risk Owner: Tim Atkins Medium 13 Risk Ref: 1857

Cause Due to economic climate there could be a

lack of interest from bidders in this

development.

Commercially viable proposal.

This could mean that there is a limited Consequence

choice of bidders for achieving best value as well as potential impact on timescales

and costs.

Controls Owner

Procurement strategy and commercially viable scheme. Tim Atkins

Revised Date Target Date Actions

30/04/2011 Due diligence. 30/04/2011

30/06/2011 Market testing.

Page 7 of 8

Impact VAT may have on capital / revenue model and council's VAT Partial Exemption Limits.

Risk Owner: Ross Brown Risk Ref: 1841

Medium

<u>Cause</u> VAT payable on capital spend. <u>Consequence</u> Council may exceed practical exemption

limit.

Controls Owner

Procurement Strategy. Zara Carter Cost model. Ross Brown

Actions Target Date Revised Date

Cost modelling. 30/04/2011
Specialist VAT advice relating to procurement strategy. 30/04/2011

Increased demand on Sustainable Transport Measure and Highways Agency Network.

Risk Owner: Richard Bogg Risk Ref: 1854

Low

9

12

<u>Cause</u> Extent of the enabling development will impact on the volume and nature of

transport strategies required to minimise the

impact of such a development.

<u>Consequence</u> Potential for cost of Sustainable Transport
Measures to impact on S106 monies for
the stadium development and associated

community facilities.

Controls Owner

Evidence of future demand.

Open book appraisal.

Richard Bogg

Negotiation with developer.

Richard Bogg

Transport Impact Assessment.

Richard Bogg

Retail Impact Assessment.

Richard Bogg

Halcrow assessment.

Richard Bogg

Actions Target Date Revised Date

Highways Agency Consultation. 31/01/2011